

Solidarity Campaign with the Constitutional Complaints of Sarah Luzia Hassel-Reusing

„The world has enough for everybody's needs, but too little for the greed of even one human being.“ (Mahatma Gandhi)

The civic rights activist Sarah Luzia Hassel-Reusing has at the 29.05.2010 and at the 06.04.2012 filed constitutional complaints against the first and the second version of the StabMechG (stabilization mechanism act), the law for the EFSF (2nd stage of the euro rescue shield). In addition to that, she will file constitutional complaints (respectively has already done this after the voting of the Bundesrat (the Upper House of the German national parliament) against the law consenting to the ESM treaty and its accompanying acts (ESMFinG and act for the modification of the law on the federal debt system) and against the laws consenting to the „little treaty change“ (art. 136 par. 3 TFEU) and to the Fiscal Compact.

I am deeply shaken, that all mechanisms, which one is going to build on these treaties, first of all are serving the „financial stability“ of the alleged-to-be „system relevant“ major banks – at the cost of all others. Disregarding anything else, which is system relevant, such as families, health, pensions, tax payers, public budgets, nature, real economy, small and medium-sized banks.

I am bewildered, that one does not even try with these mechanisms, to establish a fair compromise between the hitherto creditors and the inhabitants of the states, but instead the biggest banks are fattened, the social insurance claims and the private life and pension insurance claims as well as the state budgets are plundered, and those who have caused the financial crisis with their greed, are even been given sovereign power over the states, especially by the ESM – by means of its Vienna initiative, by means its state insolvency procedure, and by means fo placing lobbyists of certain banks into the board of directors of the ESM.

I am indignant by the planned „economic government“, which has already been enacted at the 01.01.2012 regarding its columns tightened stability and growth pact and imbalance procedure, and to which one now even wants to add the budgetary control as the third and most cruel column. The imbalance procedure wants to give to the Commission the power, to impose conditions on the states of the eurozone regarding all issues of wages, finance, and economical policy. The budgetary control would give to the Commission the power, to impose deliberate modifications to the draft budgets of the member states, to reject whole draft budgets, and to define any non-compliance with its modifications as a breach of the growth and stability pact. Further, within the scope of the budgetary control, all conditions from the growth and stability pact and from the imbalance procedure would be aggravated according to the practice of the International Monetary Fund (ignoring the civic and human rights of the inhabitants of the debtor countries, and it would, in addition to that, give to the Commission the power, to deliberately reduce or streak out for any non-compliance of its conditions especially the EU funds for agriculture, economic structure, cohesion, and fishery. By this, the farmrrers, the fishermen, and the poorer regions and communities would be driven by this into insolvency.

The constitutional complaints demand especially the following points:

- the prohibition of the consent to the ESM treaty and to its accompanying acts, including the prohibition of any kind of state insolvency procedure and of „Vienna initiative“, and of collective action clauses in bonds, which are directed to this
- the end of the EFSF no later than to the 30.06.2013 and the prohibition of the reconstruction of the EFSF into a substitute ESM
- the prohibition of the tightening of the growth and stability pact and the prohibition of the introduction of the imbalance procedure and of the budgetary control (within the scope of the judgement regarding the Fiscal Compact and the „little treaty change“, which have the meaning to be the legal treaty basis for these and countless further mechanisms)
- the prohibition of the consent to the Fiscal Compact (because this is meant as a legal basis for the economic government, for a right of the EU Commission to initiate changes of the national constitutions connected to the stability and growth, and for a right of the Commission to get control over the Executive and the Judicative of the member states to put through the implementation of its conditions in the stability and growth pact)
- the prohibition of the consent to the „little treaty change“ (art. 136 par. 3 TFEU) (for this is the most drastical card blanche empowering prescription in the history of the EU for countless EU regulations and intergouvernemental mechanisms like EFSF or ESM, always for the enrichment of big banks and always with conditions of IMF-like strictness to the inhabitants of the states)
- the constitution of the debtor country and the civic and human rights valid in the debtor country (and not the at any time arbitrarily changeable practice of the IMF) must be the rule for the fair compromise between the creditors and the inhabitants (including the tax payers and the recipients of social benefits)
- confirmation of the preeminence of the universal human rights (among them crucial right like those of the UN Social Pact to food, to health, and to social insurance) before the EU secondary law (including all regulations, guidelines, recommendations, and opinions of the EU)
- unequivocal clarification of the obligation (by art. 1 par. 2 of the German Basic Law) of Executive, Legislative, and Judicative to apply the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN human rights treaties ratified by Germany
- no further tax payer money for the saving of banks
- as a further crossing-over of powers referenda (plebiscites) for all modifications of the Basic Law and of all sensible acts to come in connection to the EU, among them all modifications of the EU primary law (the basic treaties of the EU), all intergouvernemental treaties in connection to the EU, and all EU regulations
- petitions for referenda to get back competences from the EU to the degree, to which also the Federal Government can claim this according to the Vienna Treaty Law Convention
- a plebiscite on leaving the euro, in order to protect Germany especially from EFSF

and ESM

For these reasons, I declare my solidarity with the above-mentioned constitutional complaints of Sarah Luzia Hassel-Reusing

This is a publicly visible declaration of solidarity. You can sign it online and can print it out and spread it in the public without any modifications. This is NO accession to any complaint. Furthermore, it is prohibited to send this declaration of solidarity to the court; anyone violating this prohibition, has to pay possibly imposed fees by him/herself. The court will have the possibility, if the court wants to to this, to look into the internet, who already has declared one's solidarity.